

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/647,914	08/26/2003	Brian K. Aegerter	114183-20 (P00-0024US3)	2441
75	90 10/13/2006		EXAMINER	
Rockey, Depke, Lyons & Kitzinger. LLC			KORNAKOV, MICHAIL	
233 South Wacl	ker Drive			
Suite 5450			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 6	0606		1746	
			DATE MAILED: 10/13/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
	10/647,914	AEGERTER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Kornakov	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this commu- ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 At</u> This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matt	•	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 67-83 and 85-99 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 67-83 and 85-99 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyant ion is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies 	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date iformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/647,914 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 67-83, 85-99 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a/e) as being anticipated by Lloyd et al (U.S. 6,290,856).

Lloyd teaches a method of removing a film of deposited metal material utilizing the processing steps identical to those instantly claimed (col.3, lines 8-48; col.5, lines 36-67; col.6, lines 1-65; col.9, lines 17-36; col.10, lines 1-36). Therefore, all the limitations of the instant claims are met by Lloyd.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 11/28/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the parent application 09/041,901, which is issued as U.S. 6,350,319, supports the claims as presented. This issue has been addressed in the previous communications and the Examiner maintains his position that the U.S. 6,350,319 fails to provide a method of removing a metal deposited on at least one side of a substrate including the steps of ... preventing dissolution of at least some of the material on said one side and the step of dissolving at least a portion of the metal deposited on the other side of the substrate without dissolving all of the metal on said

Application/Control Number: 10/647,914 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

one side and therefore U.S. 6,350,319 cannot serve as a priority document for the claimed subject matter, and the U.S. 6,290,865 to Lloyd et al. can be applied as 102(e)/(a) reference.

- 4. Applicants previously stated that the 37 C.F.R. 1.131 Declaration "will be submitted shortly", however, at this point no Declaration has been filed.
- 5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kornakov whose telephone number is (571) 272-1303. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,914 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

M. COPNAROV

Michael Kornakov Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

October 2, 2006